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DfMA and Industrialized Construction
DfMA ≠ IC
DfMA

Design for Manufacture and Assembly (DfMA) is a process of improving designs and design methods to gain improvement in manufacturing and construction assembly processes.

Industrialized Construction

Industrialized Construction (IC) is the application of manufacturing techniques in the built environment, to reduce the level of onsite work needed.
DfMA / IC Relationship

- DfMA is a process enabler for Industrialized Construction
- Industrialized Construction is the framework to apply of manufacturing techniques to improve the traditional construction process, removing unnecessary manual labour
Design for Manufacturing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DfM Principals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use optimal materials for functional requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove unnecessary part features (low value feature removal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose optimal manufacturing process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimise production time and throughput</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automate where possible in production process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always high-quality parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support DfA objectives if possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cost of Change Influence Influencers
Level of Influence on Cost/Quality and Production Cycle Time

Perfect World: Alignment Between Design & Production Teams

Major Influence: Get This Wrong, and Fail

70-80%

20-30%

Significant Enough Contributor to NOT be Ignored

Freedom to Make Design Change

Cost of Design Change

Design / Manufacturing Timeline

Design

Manufacturing

High

Low
Manufacturing Limitations

Design within the production capability

- What can the factory produce?
- How can the factory produce?
- How efficient can the factory produce?
- Where can we produce?
Question the Process

- Is this good DfA example still a good example of DfM?
  - Maybe not – still using traditional construction methods; limited machine automation
- DfM questions here would be:
  - Is timber frame the best material/process?
    - Is there a better solution?
    - Could we optimise in a more automated factory production line?
Understanding Production Capability

- Design for Manufacture
- New Technology Capabilities:
  - Additive Manufacturing
  - Generative Design
  - New Materials
- Machining Capabilities:
  - Cut
  - Turn
  - Mill
  - Print
  - Robot
  - ...others?...
- Manufacturing Planning
- Time Management
- Machine Running Times
- Machine Setup Times
- Inspection & Quality Measurement
Design for Assembly
## Part Reduction

Removed Parts Are Never

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designed / Detailed</td>
<td>🕒</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototyped / Produced</td>
<td>🕒</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrapped</td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tested / Re-engineered</td>
<td>🕒</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased</td>
<td>🕒</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received and Stored</td>
<td>🕒</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspected / Tested / Rejected</td>
<td>🕒</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdated / Written-off</td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreliable / Late from Supplier</td>
<td>🕒</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Remove the part, remove the problem!*
Part Standardisation / Complexity / Cost

Can Part be Standard?
- Within this Sub-Assembly?
- Within the Full Assembly?
- Within the Business Unit?
- Across the Enterprise?
- Across the Industry?
- Does a Standard Part Already Exist in the Market?

Is Part Too Complex?
- Np = Number of Parts
- Ni = Number of Interfaces to Other parts
- Complexity = Square Root of (Np*Ni) x 100
- Smaller value is always better
- Simplify both Np and Ni

Does Part Cost Too Much?
- Estimate the percentage of overall cost of each part in the assembly
  - Question: if a part has high expense: is it too complex? Why is this?
  - Question: if Fasten/Glue/Bond costs are high: is there a better design option?
Error Proofing

Remove Possibility to Omit Parts
• Try to design such that parts will not fully assemble if a part is missing

Remove Possibility to Assemble Wrong Part
• Try not to have similar looking parts in same assembly

Remove Possibility to Assemble a Part the Wrong Way Around
• Encourage symmetrical design wherever possible
Reduce Re-orientation

Symmetry Reduce Need to Re-orient Parts

Part may need to be rotated for correct assembly position

Part can be assembled any way around
Top-Down Assembling

Make Gravity Work For You

• Always Design (where possible) to Assemble from Above: (gravitational advantage)
  o Less need for additional holding actions/equipment during assembly process
  o Parts “lead into place” naturally
  o Reduces assembly time
  o Reduces likelihood to drop parts (which could damage or get lost)
Example Consideration of DoF

How many DoF are there, if the I-Beam sits on the plate, hard up against the column, ready for welding?

How many DoF are there, if the I-Beam sits in the cup, hard up against the column, ready for welding?
Difficult to Handle Optimisation

Too small: Droppages/losses, needs handling tools, difficulty in aligning

Too big: Is it adding extra weight? Do we need handling equipment? Does it slow down assembly?

Too thin: Will it break? Can the worker be cut/injured?

Too thick: Is it adding extra weight? Do we need handling equipment? Does it slow down assembly?
Modular Assemblies

- Possibility to pre-assemble (and pre-test) complex sub-assemblies
- Encourages common alignment across designs/project; increasing quality/reliability and reducing cost
- Enables faster assembly/maintenance operations
- Drives down costs, drives up quality/compliance (faster assembly with less errors than onsite assembly)
- Faster process allows more space to work on “special custom projects”
- Reduces tendency for “one-off” obscure designed parts
- Encourages removal of unnecessary parts
- Supports faster, better design process (through parametric models)
Applying DfMA
Importance of Stable Product Structures

DfMA requires well defined product structures, to realize optimization benefits

Building/Construction Structure

Fabrication Structure

Ground Floor Type XYZ

Main Assembly: Module

Sub-Assembly: Module/Asset

Kitchen

Purchased Asset

Dishwasher

Production Assembly

Cupboard Run 2

Production Part

Cupboard Panel: (part name)

Raw material

Machining feature 1

Machining feature 2…
DfMA Element Specific

DfMA principles are both generic and element specific.

Element specific DfMA is a particular set of instructions that apply to one type of element and include the proprietary rules of each manufacturer – because there are few standards.
Prefabrication is a broad term that encompasses the creation of building elements in a controlled environment that are transported to their final destination preferably installed on-site using accelerated assembly methods.